

# Opinions/Suggestions from the SLS-Tequila mailing list

- Jorma Jormakka (Telecom Finland)
- Magnus Krampell (Eurescom)
- Annie Gravey (ENST Bretagne)
- Michael Smirnov (Fokus)
- Christian Jacquenet (France Telecom)
- Marcus Brunner (NEC Europe)
- Carlos Alberto Kamienski
- Mike Ainger (Global Crossing)
- Richard Egan (Racal)
- ...

# Need for SLS in the time frame of February 2002

- Consensus was yes to inter-domain negotiation
  - Provider to provider interfaces (eg. operators peering agreements)
  - Provider to customer interfaces (eg. automated VPN self-provisioning)
  - Leave intra-domain standardization for later

# Deliverables

- Framework document (including architecture)
  - Yes
- Protocol independent SLS information model document
  - Yes; preference for PCIM or XML
- SLS Negotiation Semantics document
  - Yes
- Protocol-specific SLS instantiation
  - Protocol independent
  - COPS, HTTP
  - No to LDAP
  - In other working groups
  - How do existing protocols satisfy requirements?
- Management Considerations
- Terminology